**Ethics of publications**
1. Introduction
1.1. Publication in peer-reviewed journals is not only a simple way of scientific
communication, but also makes a significant contribution to the development of
corresponding area of scientific knowledge. Thus, it is important to set the
standards for the future ethical behavior of all involved in the publication of the
parties, namely: Authors, editors-in-Chief of the journal, the Editorial Board and
Council, Reviewers, Publishers and Scientific society.
1.2. State budgetary educational institution of higher professional education
Moscow region "University of Technology",then a Publisher, not only supports
scientific communication, but is also responsible for compliance with all current
recommendations in the paper.
1.3. The publisher assumes responsibilities for supervision of scientific content.
2. Duties of the editorial Board, Editorial Council and editor-in-chief
2.1. The decision to publish
Chief editor of the scientific Journal responsible for making decisions about
publication in collaboration with the Editorial Council and the editorial Board. The
accuracy of the proposed work and its scientific significance should always be the
basis of the decision of publication, in accordance with Journal policy, copyright,
legal requirements regarding libel.
2.2. Honesty
The editorial Board and the editorial Board headed by the Chief editor should
evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content regardless of race, sex, religious
belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the Authors.
2.3. Privacy policy
Editor in chief, Editorial Board and the editorial Board are obliged not to disclose
information about a submitted manuscript to all persons, except the author,
Reviewers, potential Reviewers, other scientific consultants and the Publisher.
2.4. Disclosure and conflicts of interest

2.4.1 Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used
in personal research without written consent of the Author. Information or ideas
obtained through the peer review and related to possible benefits must be kept
confidential and not used for personal gain.
2.5. Involvement and cooperation in investigations
Editor in chief, Editorial Board and the editorial Board should take reasonably
responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a
submitted manuscript or published materials. Such measures generally include
interaction with the Authors of the manuscript and argumentation of a relevant
claim, or demand, but may also involve interaction with relevant organizations and
research centers.
3. Duties Of Reviewers
3.1. Influence on Editorial decisions
Peer review assists the Editorial Board and editor-in-Chief to make a decision
about publication, and through appropriate communications with the author may
also assist the Author to improve the quality of work. Peer review is an essential
component of formal scholarly communication, and lies at the basis of the
scientific approach. The publisher shares the view that all scholars who wish to
contribute to the publication are required to be actively involved in reviewing the
manuscript.
3.2. Diligence
Any selected Reviewer who feels unqualified to review the manuscript or not
having enough time for a quick carry out the work, should notify the editor of the
Magazine and ask to exclude him from the process of reviewing the relevant
manuscripts.
3.3. Privacy policy
Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as a confidential document.
They must not be shown to or discussed with any persons not having any
permission from the editor.
3.4. Requirements and objectivity
The reviewer is obliged to give an objective evaluation. Personal criticism of the
Author is unacceptable. Reviewers should clearly and reasonably Express their
opinion.

3.5. Acknowledgement of sources
Reviewers should identify relevant published work relevant to the topic and not
included in the bibliography of the manuscript. For each statement (observation,
derivation, or argument), previously published, the manuscript must be
accompanied by the relevant citation. The reviewer should also draw the attention
of the editor-in-Chief on any substantial similarity or overlap between the
manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which in the
sphere of the scientific competence of the Reviewer.
3.6. Disclosure and conflicts of interest
3.6.1 Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used
in personal research without written consent of the Author. Information or ideas
obtained through the peer review and related
the possible personal interests of the Reviewer must be kept confidential and not
used for personal gain.
3.6.2. Reviewers should not participate in consideration of manuscripts in case of
conflict of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative and other interactions
and relationships with any of the Authors, companies or other organizations
associated with the work.
4. Duties Of Authors
4.1. Requirements to the manuscripts
4.1.1 the authors of the article describing the original research should present an
accurate account of the work performed as an objective discussion of its
significance. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references for possible
reproduction. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical
behavior and are unacceptable.
4.2. Data access and storage
Authors may be requested for the raw data relevant to the manuscript for Editors to
review. Authors should be prepared to provide public access to such information, if
practicable, and in any event be prepared to retain such data for a adequate period
of time after publication.
4.3. Originality and plagiarism

4.3.1 the Authors must ensure that they have written entirely original works, and in
the case of use of works or statements of other Authors should provide appropriate
references or extracts.
4.3.2 Plagiarism can exist in many forms, from the view of someone else's work as
an author prior to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of other works (without
attribution) and the application private rights to the results of other studies.
Plagiarism in all forms constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is
unacceptable.
4.4. Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication
4.4.1 In General, the Author should not publish the manuscript, for the most part
on the same research in more than one journal of primary publication. The
submission of the same manuscript simultaneously in more than one journal is
perceived as unethical behavior and is unacceptable.
4.4.2. In General, the Author should not submit for consideration in another journal
a previously published paper.
4.5. Acknowledgement of sources
Always recognize the contributions of others. the Authors should cite publications
that have meaning to complete this work. Information obtained privately, as in
conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties must not be used or
presented without explicit written permission of the source. Information obtained
from confidential sources, such as evaluation of manuscripts or grants, should not
be used without explicit written permission of the Authors relating to confidential
sources.
4.6. Publication authorship
4.6.1 authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant
contribution to the conception, design, execution or interpretation of the reported
study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-
authors. In cases when study participants have made a significant contribution in a
certain direction in a research project, they should be listed as persons who have
made a significant contribution to this study.
4.6.2. The author should ensure that all participants contributed to the study
presented as co-authors and are not listed as co-authors those who were not
involved in the study that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version
of the work and agreed to its submission for publicаtion.

4.7. Disclosure and conflicts of interest
4.7.1 All Authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other
substantive conflict of interest that could be perceived as influencing the results or
conclusions presented in the work.
4.7.2 Examples of potential conflicts of interest necessarily should be disclosed
include employment, consultancy, stock ownership, receipt of fees, provision of
expert advice, patent application or patent registration, grants and other financial
security. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed as early as possible.
4.8. Significant errors in published works
In case an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in the publication, the
Author must inform the Editor-in-Chief of the Magazine and interact with it with a
view to early withdrawal of the publication or correction of errors. If the Chief
editor or the Publisher has received information from a third party that a published
work contains a significant error, the Author is obliged to remove the work or to
correct errors in the shortest possible time.
5. Duties Of The Publisher
5.1 the Publisher should adopt policies and procedures that support the fulfillment
of ethical responsibilities editor-in-Chief, editorial Board and Board, Reviewers
and Authors of the Journal in accordance with these requirements.
5.2. The publisher should support the editor-in-Chief, Editorial Council and
editorial Board to review the claims to the ethical aspects of published materials
and help to interact with other journals and/or Publishers.
5.3. The publisher has to promote good practice conduct research and implement
industry standards to improve the ethical guidelines, withdrawal procedures, and
correcting errors.
5.4 the Publisher shall provide appropriate specialized legal support (opinion or
advice) if necessary.